

Parameter learning in tomography

Optimal strategy and convergent algorithm

Master internship offer

Possible application fields: Medicine and biology, physics and astrophysics (*e.g.*, fluid mechanics, plasma), archaeology, non destructive evaluation...

Scientific context: Inverse problem, image reconstruction, inverse Radon, deconvolution, Fourier synthesis, Fourier slice theorem, super-resolution,...

Signal-image issues: Self-calibrated and self-adaptive inversion, unsupervised learning.

Involved tools: Penalties and constraints (deterministic), hierarchical models and Bayesian strategy (stochastic), optimization and sampling,...

Computing environment: PC, Matlab, Parallel Computing & Statistics and Machine Learning Toolboxes.

Location: Groupe Signal – Image, IMS (Université de Bordeaux – CNRS – BINP), Talence, France.

Supervisors: J.-F. GIOVANNELLI (IMS). Collaboration with Claire MICHELET (LP2IB) and Pascal DESBARATS (LaBRI). The work could be in relation with colleagues in Heriot-Watt University (Edinburgh).

Duration: Five or six months starting in January or February 2024.

Doctoral study: The internship could open to a PhD thesis on similar subjects.

Contact: J.-F. GIOVANNELLI (Giova@IMS-Bordeaux.fr).

Context — A broad variety of measurement systems have become widespread in recent years, requiring processing tools adapted to important amounts of large data sets. In this context, processing methods must be entirely automated and cannot demand for human assistance in order to tune parameters. This is far more crucial in inverse problems [1] for image reconstruction (tomography, inverse Radon, scanner) for one main reason: methods are founded on regularization (required by ill-posedness *e.g.*, due to limited-angle) and then appeal for hyperparameters to balance a compromise between various types of information. Additionally, modern observation systems are complex and require models with various instrument parameters. A threefold problem has then to be solved [2–5]: from a unique observation (*i.e.*, unsupervised scheme) estimate parameters for object and noise (self-adapted issue) as well as instrument parameters (self-calibrated question), in addition to the image itself. This question, regarding parameters (object, noise, instrument) is a main open problem in data science and this is the core issue of the work.

Methodological framework — From a methodological point of view, the investigations planned for this internship falls into two categories [1, 6].

1. *Deterministic framework*: optimization [7, 8] of criterion including penalties and constraints.
2. *Stochastic framework*: Bayesian strategies for hierarchical models [9] and stochastic sampling [10, 11].

These frameworks have become cornerstone tools in the field of inverse problems and especially tomography since they allow (a) to include various variables, possibly with complex interactions and (b) to account for diverse information: properties of unknown object (*e.g.*, edge-preservation and homogeneity, textures, positivity), instrument model, noise and signal level, ... Ultimately, the second framework provides an optimal estimation function and also allows to include parameters and provides an idea of uncertainties.

Anticipated contribution — Regarding the second category, from a computational viewpoint, the work will rely on Markov chain Monte Carlo (MCMC) algorithms, stemming from the theory of Markov processes. Constructing efficient MCMC algorithms for high-dimensional problems is difficult, and this has stimulated a lot of research. We will first investigate Langevin and Hamilton [12–14] algorithms based on gradient and Hessian of the posterior to provide efficient proposal in a Metropolis-Hastings algorithm. We will include Fisher information to improve efficiency. The practical implementation could rely on the automatic differentiation tools used as standard by the most recent learning tools.

References

- [1] J.-F. Giovannelli et J. Idier, Eds., *Regularization and Bayesian Methods for Inverse Problems in Signal and Image Processing*, ISTE and John Wiley & Sons Inc., London, 2015.
- [2] F. Orieux, J.-F. Giovannelli et T. Rodet, « Bayesian estimation of regularization and point spread function parameters for Wiener–Hunt deconvolution », *J. Opt. Soc. Amer.*, vol. 27, N° 7, pp. 1593–1607, juillet 2010.
- [3] F. Orieux, J.-F. Giovannelli, T. Rodet et A. Abergel, « Estimating hyperparameters and instrument parameters in regularized inversion. Illustration for Herschel/SPIRE map making », *Astron. Astrophys.*, vol. 549, janvier 2013.
- [4] C. Vacar, J.-F. Giovannelli et Y. Berthoumieu, « Bayesian texture and instrument parameter estimation from blurred and noisy images using MCMC », *IEEE Signal Proc. Let.*, vol. 21, N° 6, pp. 707–711, 2014.
- [5] A. Yan, R. Fétick, L. Mugnier, J.-F. Giovannelli, A. Bonnefois et C. Petit, « Image restoration applied to adaptive-optics-corrected astronomical and satellite observation in the visible », in *AO4ASTRO2 Workshop*, juin 2021.
- [6] G. Winkler, *Image Analysis, Random Fields and Markov Chain Monte Carlo Methods*, Springer Verlag, Berlin, Allemagne, 2003.
- [7] J. Nocedal et S. J. Wright, *Numerical Optimization*, Series in Operations Research. Springer Verlag, New York, 2008.
- [8] D. P. Bertsekas, *Nonlinear programming*, Athena Scientific, Belmont, MA, USA, 2nd edition, 1999.
- [9] N. Dobigeon, A. Hero et J.-Y. Tourneret, « Hierarchical Bayesian sparse image reconstruction with application to MRFM », *IEEE Trans. Image Processing*, vol. 18, N° 9, septembre 2009.
- [10] A. Gelman, J. C. Carlin, H. S. Stern et D. B. Rubin, *Bayesian Data Analysis*, Chapman & Hall/CRC, Boca Raton, USA, 2nd edition, 2004.
- [11] C. P. Robert, *The Bayesian Choice. From decision-theoretic foundations to computational implementation*, Springer Texts in Statistics. Springer Verlag, New York, USA, 2007.
- [12] G. Roberts et O. Stramer, « Langevin Diffusions and Metropolis–Hastings Algorithms », *Methodology and Computing in Applied Probability*, vol. 4, pp. 337–358, 2003.
- [13] M. Girolami et B. Calderhead, « Riemannian manifold Hamiltonian Monte Carlo (with discussion) », *J. R. Statist. Soc. B*, vol. 73, pp. 123–214, 2011.
- [14] C. Vacar, J.-F. Giovannelli et Y. Berthoumieu, « Langevin and Hessian with Fisher approximation stochastic sampling for parameter estimation of structured covariance », in *Proc. IEEE ICASSP*, Prague, Czech Republic, mai 2011, pp. 3964–3967.